

Deep Learning for Omics Integration

Omics Integration and Systems Biology course Nikolay Oskolkov, Lund University, NBIS SciLifeLab, Sweden

Image adapted from Kelsey et al., Science 2017

SciLifeLab

Challenges of Deep Learning in Life Sciences . **SciLifeLab**

- Difficult to apply to real Life Science projects (NGS: tabular data)
- Lack of data in Life Sciences (exceptions: single cell, microscopy)
- Simpler (than Deep Learning) methods often perform better

Occam's Razor: No more things should be presumed to exist than are absolutely necessary, i.e., the fewer assumptions an explanation of a phenomenon depends on, the better the explanation.

(William of Occam)

izquotes.com

Why don't neural networks always work?

Geoffrey Hinton: Nobel prize in physics 2024

NBSS Artificial Neural Networks: general principles .V **SciLifeLab**

- ANN: a mathematical function $Y = f(X)$ with a special architecture
- Can be non-linear depending on activation function
- Backward propagation (gradient descent) for minimizing error
- Universal Approximation Theorem

Gradient descent: backward propagation

NBS

$$
y_i=\alpha+\beta x_i+\epsilon,\,\,i=1\ldots n
$$

$$
E(\alpha,\beta)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n(y_i-\alpha-\beta x_i)^2
$$

$$
\hat{\alpha},\hat{\beta}=\text{argmin}\,\, \mathrm{E}(\alpha,\beta)
$$

SciLifeLab

$$
\frac{\partial E(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} = -\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial E(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)
$$

Numeric implementation of gradient descent:

$$
\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i - \eta \frac{\partial E(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} \bigg|_{\alpha = \alpha_i, \beta = \beta_i}
$$

$$
\beta_{i+1} = \beta_i - \eta \frac{\partial E(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta} \bigg|_{\alpha = \alpha_i, \beta = \beta_i}
$$

Coding gradient descent from scratch in R ciLifeLab

```
1 alpha <- vector(); beta <- vector()
  1 n \le 100 # sample size
  2 x \leq -rnorm(n) # simulated expanatory variable
                                                                            2 E <- vector(); dEdalpha <- vector(); dEdbeta <- vector()
  3 \text{ y} \leftarrow 3 + 2 \cdot x + \text{norm}(n) # simulated response variable
                                                                            3 eta <- 0.01; alpha[1] <- 1; beta[1] <- 1 # initialize alpha and beta
  4 summary(lm(v \sim x))
                                                                            4 for(i in 1:1000)5<sup>4</sup>E[i] \leftarrow (1/n) * sum((v - alpha[i] - beta[i] * x)^2)6
                                                                                 dEdalpha[i] <- - sum(2 * (y - alpha[i] - beta[i] * x)) / n
                                                                            \overline{7}Call:dEdbeta[i] <- - sum(2 * x * (y - alpha[i] - beta[i] * x)) / n
                                                                            8
lm(formula = v ~ x)Qalpha[i+1] <- alpha[i] - eta * dEdalpha[i]
                                                                           10Residuals:
                                                                                 beta[i+1] <- beta[i] - eta * dEdbeta[i]
                                                                           11Min
             10 Median
                               30
                                       Max
                                                                           12<sup>1</sup>-1.9073 - 0.6835 - 0.0875 0.5806 3.2904
                                                                           13 print(paste0("alpha = ", tail(alpha, 1), ", beta = ", tail(beta, 1)))Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                                                          [1] "alpha = 2.89719694937354, beta = 1.94752837381973"
(Intercept) 2.89720
                         0.09755
                                    29.70
                                           \langle 2e-16 \rangle ***
             1,94753
                         0.10688
                                    18.22
                                            \zeta2e-16 ***
\mathbf{x}Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.9707 on 98 degrees of freedom
```
Multiple R-squared: 0.7721, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7698 F-statistic: 332 on 1 and 98 DF, p-value: < $2.2e-16$

Let us now reconstruct the intercept and slope from gradient descent

Number of iterations

ANN from scratch: problem formulation

1 d <- c(0, 0, 1, 1) # true labels
2 x1 <- c(0, 0, 1, 1) # input variable x1 $3 \times 2 \leftarrow c(0, 1, 0, 1)$ # input variable x2

5 data.frame(x1 = x1, x2 = x2, d = d)

 $1\quad1$ $\mathbf{1}$

SciLifeLab

 $y(w_1, w_2) = \phi(w_1x_1 + w_2x_2)$ $\phi(s)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-s}}\;$ sigmoid $\phi'(s) = \phi(s) (1 - \phi(s))$

Coding ANN from scratch in R

$$
E(w_1,w_2) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(d_i - y_i(w_1,w_2)\right)^2
$$

$$
w_{1,2}=w_{1,2}-\mu \frac{\partial E(w_1,w_2)}{\partial w_{1,2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_1} & = -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - y_i) * y_i * (1 - y_i) * x_{1i} \\ \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_2} & = -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - y_i) * y_i * (1 - y_i) * x_{2i} \\ \frac{1}{N} & = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - y_i) * y_i * (1 - y_i) * x_{2i} \end{aligned}
$$

 $[1]$ 0.04742587 0.05752359 0.95730271 0.96489475

We nearly reconstruct true labels $d = (0, 0, 1, 1)$

NBSS Supervised deep learning Omics integration **SciLifeLab**

Autoencoder dimension reduction: 8617 PBMC cells N SciLifeLab

60

10X Genomics Mouse Brain: scRNAseq, 1.3M cells Λ **SciLifeLab**

 -5

UMAP1

5

 -15

 -10

 $20¹$ 15 10 5 Dimension₂ 0 -5 -10 -15 -20 $^{-15}$ 10 15 20 -5 O 5 Dimension 1 tSNE perplexity = 350, 10X Genomics 1.3M Mouse Brain cells

Autoencoder 10 Hiden Layers, 10X Genomics 1.3M Mouse Brain cells

NBSS 10X Genomics mouse brain: scRNAseq, 1.3M cells N SciLifeLab

Autoencoders themselves are perhaps not optimal for visualization of scOmics Autoencoders can be promising for non-linear data pre-processing, the bottleneck can potentially be fed to tSNE / UMAP

Multi-Omics in Single Cell Genomics

(Peterson et al., 2017)

Hu et al., 2018, Frontier in Cell and Developmental Biology 6, 1-13

Macaulay et al., 2015

NBS

Clark et al., 2018, Nature Communications 9, 781

Autoencoder for data integration

Autoencoder for data integration: CITEseq · SciLifeLab **NB&**

scNMTseq: scRNAseq + scBSseq + scATACseq **SciLifeLab**

scNMTseq: Clark et al., 2018, Nature Communications 9, 781

UMAP on PCA: scNMTseg, scRNAseg

SciLifeLab When Deep Learning is not good enough

Intelligence is to know how much you do not know

NRS

Stock Price Prediction: Standard vs Bayesian Approach

Magnitude of Earthquake

Frequentist image recognition

Fashion MNIST

normalize inputs from 0.203 to 0.0.1.0
X_train = X_train.reshape(X_train.shape(0), 1, 28, 28).astype('float32')
X_train = X_train.reshape(X_train.shape(0), 1, 28, 28).astype('float32')
X_test = X_test / 255.0

 1.0 0.8 Frequency
0.4 0.2 0.0 Tshirt Trouser Dress Sandal Ankle Boot Pullover Gat Shirt Sneaker Bag

Accuracy of the prediction of the test image

Prediction

PyMC3, Edward, TensorFlow Probability **Prediction**

Accuracy of the prediction of the test image

Bayesian Deep Learning for single cell

NBES

Uncertainties are critical for Clinical Diagnostics

National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden (NBIS)

Knut och Alice Wallenbergs

UNDS UNIVERSITET

Vetenskapsrådet